

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

\*\*\*\*

**C.W.P. No.8289 of 2005  
Date of Decision:20.04.2007**

**Hari Ram**

**.....Petitioner**

**Vs.**

**Managing Director, Pepsu Road Transport Corporation, Patiala  
and another**

**.....Respondents**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.KUMAR  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL**

Present:- Mr. R.S.Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. H.N.S.Gill, Advocate for the respondents.

\*\*\*\*

**JUDGMENT**

**M.M.KUMAR, J.**

The petitioner, who is a retired employee of the Pepsu Road Transport Corporation, has filed the instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for quashing order dated 29.5.2001, 25.7.2001 and 21.5.2002 (Annexures P-3, P-4 and P-5) whereby the pay of the petitioner has been revised/ refixed and recovery was ordered after his retirement. The petitioner has asserted that due to the aforementioned action of the respondents his pension has been reduced. Further relief has been sought directing the respondents to re-fix/restore the pay of the petitioner to the level he was drawing earlier and the same be brought at par with that of his junior Gurnam Singh and thereafter to release all retiral and benefits viz. Commuted value of pension, gratuity, monthly pension, DCRG etc. by calculating the same on the basis of his actual last pay drawn. The petitioner has also claimed that all arrears of pay/retiral benefits may be released to him with interest @ 18% per annum.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and perusing the record with their assistance we find that for the reliefs claimed in the instant petition, the petitioner has already represented to the respondents on

10.6.2004 (P-8) and subsequently a legal notice dated 28.7.2004 (P-9) was also served upon the respondents. The aforementioned representation and legal notice have not been decided by the respondents by passing a speaking order.

In view of above, without going into the merits of the case, we deem it just and appropriate to direct the respondents to take cognizance of the representation and legal notice (Annexures P-8 and P-9) sent by the petitioner and decide the same expeditiously preferably within a period of two months from the date a certified copy of this order is presented to them. It shall be appreciated if a speaking order is passed. If the claim of the petitioner is found to be meritorious and decided in his favour then all the benefits be released to him forthwith.

The writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

**( M.M.KUMAR )**  
**JUDGE**

**April 20, 2007**  
**renu**

**( RAJESH BINDAL )**  
**JUDGE**